HW7 solution

7.2.12

(a) As in Example 7.2.1, we have that the posterior distribution of μ is given by the

$$N\left(\left(1+\frac{10}{9}\right)^{-1}\left(65+\left(\frac{10}{9}\right)63.20\right),\left(1+\frac{10}{9}\right)^{-1}\right)=N\left(64.053,\frac{9}{19}\right).$$

The posterior mode is then $\hat{\mu}=64.053$. A .95-credible interval for μ is given by $64.053 \pm \sqrt{9/19}z_{0.975} = (62.704,65.402)$. Since this interval has length equal to 2.698 and the margin of error is less then 1.5 marks (which is quite small) we conclude that the estimate is quite accurate.

- (b) Based on the .95-credible interval, we cannot reject $H_0: \mu = 65$, at the 5% level since 65 falls inside the interval.
- (c) The posterior probability of the null hypothesis above is given by

$$\Pi\left(\mu = 65 \mid x_{1},..,x_{n}\right) = \frac{0.5m_{1}\left(s\right)}{0.5m_{1}\left(s\right) + 0.5m_{2}\left(s\right)}\Pi_{1}\left(\mu = 65 \mid x_{1},..,x_{n}\right) + \frac{0.5m_{1}\left(s\right)}{0.5m_{1}\left(s\right) + 0.5m_{21}\left(s\right)}\Pi_{2}\left(\mu = 65 \mid x_{1},..,x_{n}\right)$$

where $\Pi_2(\cdot | x_1,..,x_n)$ is as given in part (a) and $\Pi_1(\cdot | x_1,..,x_n)$ is degenerate at $\mu = 65$.

The prior predictive under Π_1 is given by

$$m_1(x_1,..,x_n) = (18\pi)^{-5} \exp\left(-\frac{(10-1)252.622}{(2)9}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{10}{18}(63.20-65)^2\right)$$

= 3.981 × 10⁻⁶⁵

while the prior predictive under Π_2 is given by

$$m_2(x_1, ..., x_n) = (18\pi)^{-5} \exp\left(-\frac{(10-1)252.622}{(2)9}\right) \times \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{9}{19}(135.22)^2\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}8663.0\right) (.68825)$$
$$= 6.2662 \times 10^{-65}$$

The posterior probability of the null is then equal to

$$\frac{0.5m_1(s)}{0.5m_1(s) + 0.5m_2(s)} = \frac{3.981 \times 10^{-65}}{3.981 \times 10^{-65} + 6.2662 \times 10^{-65}} = .3885.$$

(d) The Bayes factor in favor of $H_0: \mu = 65$ is given by

$$BF_{H_0} = \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{10}{18}\left(63.20 - 65\right)^2\right)}{\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{9}{19}\left(135.22\right)^2\right)\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}8663.0\right) \times .68825} = .6353.$$

7.2.15

(a) The odds in favor of A is defined by $P(A)/P(A^c)$. Hence,

$$\frac{P(A)}{P(A^c)} = \frac{P(A)}{1 - P(A)} = \frac{1 - P(A^c)}{P(A^c)} = 1 / \frac{P(A^c)}{1 - P(A^c)} = 1 / \text{odds in favor of } A^c.$$

(b) The Bayes factor in favor of A is given by BF(A) = posterior odds of A / prior odds of A.

$$BF(A)=rac{\Pi(A|s)}{\Pi(A^c|s)}\Big/rac{\Pi(A)}{\Pi(A^c)}=1\Big/igg[rac{\Pi(A^c|s)}{1-\Pi(A^c|s)}\Big/rac{\Pi(A)}{1-\Pi(A^c)}igg]=1/BF(A^c).$$

- **7.2.16** The fact that the odds of A is 3 implies P(A)/(1-P(A))=3. This implies that P(A)=3/4. If $\Pi(A)=1/2$, then the prior odds of A is $\Pi(A)/\Pi(A^c)=(1/2)/(1/2)=1$. The Bayes factor in favor of A is BF(A)= posterior odds of A/ prior odds of $A=(\Pi(A|s)/(1-\Pi(A|s)))/1=10$. This implies that $\Pi(A|s)=10/11$.
- **7.2.18** Note that a credible set is an acceptance region and the compliment of γ -credible set is a $(1-\gamma)$ rejection region. Since $\psi(\theta)=0\in(-3.3,2.6)$, the P-value must be greater than 1-0.95=0.05.